Case 2:11-cv-04708-DMC-JAD Document 1 Filed 08/17/11 Page 1 of 6 PageID: 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Lorraine Z. Waitkus			Faloni & Associates, LLC		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bergen			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Essex		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
/esley G. Hanna, Esquire/Friedman & Associates, LLC, 125 toute 73, West Berlin, New Jersey 08091				involved.	SE HE BOCATION OF THE
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for					Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2	Principal Place
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORIS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES					
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability Liability 340 Marine PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Y	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 2 Removed from Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Appeal to District Judge from another district (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Appeal to District Judge from another district (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):					
Brief description of cause:					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
Explanation: SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					

THE LAW OFFICE OF SANDER FRIEDMAN Wesley G. Hanna, Esquire 125 North Route 73 West Berlin, New Jersey 08091 (856) 988-7777 Attorneys for Plaintiff

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY (NEWARK)

LORRAINE Z. WAITKUS,

Plaintiff,
v.

Civil Case Number:

Civil Action

Civil Action

Complaint

Defendants.

Plaintiff hereby complains against the Defendant as follows:

<u>PARTIES</u>

- 1. Plaintiff Lorraine Z. Waitkus is an individual residing in Bergen County, New Jersey.
- 2. Defendant Faloni & Associates, LLC is a lawfirm and debt collector operating out of Fairfield, New Jersey.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction is proper in the Federal District Court as the case is premised upon a federal question as defined under 28 U.S.C. 1331, to wit, application and interpretation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et. seq.
- 4. Venue is proper in Newark because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims took place in the scope of the Newark vicinage.

BACKGROUND

5. Plaintiff Lorraine Z. Waitkus is an individual that Defendant is attempting to collect a purported debt from.

- 6. As part of Defendant's debt collection effort, Defendant sent Ms. Waitkus an initial collection notice.
- 7. The portions of the notice that identifies it as being from a debt collector and advising Ms. Waitkus of her validation rights are not sufficiently prominent to be noticed by the least sophisticated debtor.
- 8. The notice is under an attorney's letter head.
- 9. The letter expressly and impliedly threatens legal action.
- 10. The notice also contained a disclaimer, stating "At this time no attorney with this firm has personally reviewed the particular circumstances of your account."
- 11. Upon information and belief, there was no meaningful attorney involvement with the file or account before sending Ms. Waitkus the collection notice.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

Violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692

- 12. The above recitations are hereafter incorporated by reference.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a debt collector that attempts to collect a large number of debts on behalf of one or several creditors.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant sends a nearly identical collection notice to each and every one of the consumers that are subject to its debt collection efforts.
- 15. Defendant's collection notices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by failing to prominently identify Defendant as a debt collector and apprise consumers of their validation rights.
- 16. Defendant's collection notices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act in that a notice that purports to be from an attorney and threatens legal action while simultaneously disclaiming attorney review of the matter is abusive, harassing, deceptive, misleading, unconscionable, and otherwise unlawful.
- 17. Upon information and beleif, Defendant sends out the offending collection notice en

masse without any meaningful attorney involvement.

- 18. The practice described in the paragraph above amounts to a law firm renting out its letterhead. It is unethical, violates the Rules of Professional Conduct, and by extension, violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 19. The proposed class(es) consists of all natural persons that received a collection notice containing one or more of the defects described above.
- 20. Upon information and belief, members of the prospective class(es) are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impractical. Plaintiff's belief is that there are several hundred class members. The exact number and identities of the class members are currently unknown and can be ascertained from the books/records of the Defendants and/or appropriate discovery.
- 21. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class that predominate over any questions affecting any individual class members.
- 22. Common questions of fact include, but may not be limited to:
 - a. The content of collection notices.
 - b. Whether the collection notices are misleading, abusive, harassing, deceptive, or otherwise in violation of the rights of the least sophisticated debtor
 - c. Whether there was any meaningful attorney involvement in the matters prior to the mailing of collection notices on law firm letterhead.
- 23. Common questions of law include, but are not limited to, the propriety of Defendant's collection notice and activities (including the act of allowing a law firm's letter head to be used to up the ante in a debt collection effort without any meaningful attorney involvement in the matter) in light of the burdens imposed by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and/or other laws and legal precepts.
- 24. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class(es) as she was subject to the notices and collection activities.
- 25. Plaintiff has the same interest as all other members of the class(es) ensuring

Defendants comply with all laws affecting the collection of consumer debts.

- 26. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the class(es).
- 27. Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in class action litigation, as well as other complex litigation. The interests of the Plaintiff is coincident to, and not antagonistic to, the interest of other members of the class(es).
- 28. The questions of law and fact common to members of the class predominant over any questions affecting individual class members and class litigation is the superior method to adjudicate the common questions. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would result in duplicitous litigation over the same issues and possibly create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that could result in establishing inconsistent standards of conduct, policies and/or procedures for the Defendants. The Defendant's notices and collection activities affect all class members identically. The class action mechanism is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 29. Neither Plaintiffs, nor their counsel, will have difficulty managing their respective roles in prosecuting this action as a class action.

Wherefore, Plaintiffs request judgment as follows:

- a. Certifying this matter as a class action, with multiple classes, or sub-classes, as may be appropriate;
- b. Awarding such sums as appropriate under 15 U.S.C. 1692k;
- c. Awarding counsel fees and costs of suit;
- d. Awarding pre-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding other such relief as the Court may deem fair and equitable.

Individual Claims

Violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692

- 30. The above allegations are hereafter incorporated by reference.
- 31. As described above, the collection notice received by Plaintiff violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 32. As described above, Defendant's collection activities, including the act of allowing a

law firm 's letter head to be used to up the ante in a debt collection effort without any meaningful attorney involvement in the matter, violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

Wherefore, Plaintiffs request judgment as follows:

- a. Awarding such sums as appropriate under 15 U.S.C. 1692k;
- b. Awarding counsel fees and costs of suit;
- c. Awarding pre-judgment interest;
- d. Awarding other such relief as the Court may deem fair and equitable.

/s/Wesley G. Hanna Wesley G. Hanna, Esq. (WH-9019)